From:

Rick Ennis

To:

Richard Lobel

Date:

3/24/04 1:38PM

Subject:

Re: Revised paragraph

thanks

>>> Richard Lobel 03/24/04 01:38PM >>> Looks good.

>>> Rick Ennis 03/24/04 01:35PM >>> Rich,

Here's the revised paragraph. Let me know if it's okay:

The NRC staff has also considered the impact of credit for containment accident pressure for so-called beyond-DBAs during which the ECCS or the containment heat removal system may be called upon to function. For station blackout, anticipated transients without scram and Appendix R postulated fires, the suppression pool conditions are typically less severe than those for the design-basis LOCA. For these postulated events, no debris would be generated and, therefore, the flow losses are considerably lower for these events than for the design-basis LOCA and the available NPSH consequently greater. Credit for containment accident pressure is sometimes needed for these events, although the design-basis LOCA conditions would be bounding. Credit for containment accident pressure is not needed for shutdown conditions.

 $\mathbb{P}|q$